

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,712	07/14/2003		Werner Menk	03-422	7755
34704	7590	01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
		POINTE, P.C.	YEE, DEBORAH		
900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510				1742	
				DATE MAIL CO. O. O. O. O.	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,712	MENK, WERNER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah Yee	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 L</u>	December 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 8-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 8-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See the cition is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/619,712

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 13 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 13 is dependent on canceled claim 7. It is recommended to change dependency to claim 1. Also claim 15, end of line 2, has a typographical error. Instead of "into product", it should be "cast iron product". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8 to 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK patent 1,482,724 for the reasons set forth in the previous office action dated 9-28-04.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 12-02-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. It was submitted that UK'724 does not teach or suggest the alloy composition now set forth in independent claims 1 and 15. It is the examiner's position that UK'724 in claims 1 and 2 on pages 2 and 3 disclose a cast iron having a composition with alloying constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such

Application/Control Number: 10/619,712

Art Unit: 1742

overlap renders applicant's composition prima facie because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the claimed ranges from the broader disclosure of the prior art because the prior art has similar utility of using alloy for components in a combustion engine, see lines 16 to 30 of column 1 on page 1, and has lamellar to nodular (spheroidal) graphite, see line 41 to 45, column 2, page 2. See MPEP 2144.05.

6. To distinguish claims over prior art, applicant needs to demonstrate (e.g. by comparative test data), that the more narrowly claimed alloy ranges are somehow critical and productive of new and unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,712

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah Yee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742